

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

ANARBEEK MYSAEV, A# 215 932 509,

1719 Quentin Rd.
Apt 2D
Brooklyn, NY 11229

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND
IMMIGRATION SERVICES,

Serve: U.S. Citizenship & Immigration
Services
5900 Capital Gateway Dr
Camp Springs, MD 20588

UR MENDOZA JADDOU, Director of the
United States Citizenship and Immigration
Services,

Serve: U.S. Citizenship & Immigration
Services
5900 Capital Gateway Dr
Camp Springs, MD 20588

and,

KIRT THOMPSON, Director of the Texas
Service Center of the United States Citizenship
and Immigration Services,

Serve: Kirt Thompson
USCIS Texas Service Center
6046 N Belt Line Rd
Irving, TX 75038

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-371

**COMPLAINT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS ARISING FROM DEFENDANTS’
REFUSAL TO ADJUDICATE PLAINTIFF’S APPLICATION TO ADJUST STATUS**

Plaintiff Anarbek Mysaev respectfully requests a hearing before this Honorable Court to make a determination on Plaintiff’s application to adjust status, or alternatively requesting that this Honorable Court issue a writ of mandamus compelling Defendants to adjudicate Plaintiff’s long-delayed application to adjust status.

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Anarbek Mysaev is a citizen of Kyrgyzstan, currently residing in Brooklyn, NY.

2. Defendants granted asylum to Plaintiff on December 6, 2019.

3. Anarbek Mysaev filed a timely I-485 Application for Adjustment of Status on September 10, 2020. Defendants assigned Receipt Number SRC2090468725 to Plaintiff’s case.

4. USCIS completed the fingerprinting and photographing of Anarbek Mysaev as part of the processing of the pending applications.

5. Since then, the Defendants have taken no action on the pending adjustment application. The Defendants have refused and continue to refuse to conduct an interview on the case.

6. Since Anarbek Mysaev filed the applications with USCIS, Plaintiff has made repeated requests to have their case finally adjudicated.

7. Despite numerous calls to USCIS and Plaintiff’s attempts to prompt movement on the case, Anarbek Mysaev’s application to adjust status has remained pending far longer than is reasonable.

8. USCIS has refused to adjudicate Anarbek Mysaev’s application in accordance with applicable legal criteria.

9. Plaintiff brings this action to compel the USCIS to finally adjudicate the pending applications as required by law.

10. Defendant United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (hereinafter sometimes referred to as “the USCIS”) is the component of the DHS that is responsible for processing adjustment of status applications.

11. Defendant Ur Mendoza Jaddou, Director of the USCIS, is the highest ranking official within the USCIS. Jaddou is responsible for the implantation of the INA and for ensuring compliance with all applicable federal laws, including the APA. Jaddou is sued in an official capacity as an agent of the government of the United States.

12. Defendant Kirt Thompson is the Director of the USCIS Texas Service Center and is sued only in an official capacity, as well as any successors and assigns. The Texas Service Center has jurisdiction over applications for adjustment of status for immigrants in Brooklyn , NY, where Plaintiff resides. Thompson is responsible for the implantation of the INA and for ensuring compliance with all applicable federal laws, including the APA. Kirt Thompson is sued in an official capacity as an agent of the government of the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Honorable Court has federal question jurisdiction over this cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as it raises claims under the Constitution of the United States, the INA, 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq., and the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 701 et seq, in conjunction with the Mandamus Act, 28 USC § 1361.

14. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1) because (1) Defendants are agencies of the United States or officers or employees thereof acting in their official capacity or under color of legal authority; (2) no real property is involved in this action, and; (3) the Defendants maintain offices within this district.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Agency Action Unlawfully Withheld and Unreasonably Delayed)

For the first claim for relief against all Defendants, Plaintiff alleges and state as follows:

15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set out herein.

16. The APA requires that “[w]ith due regard for the convenience and necessity of the parties or their representatives and within a reasonable time, each agency shall proceed to conclude a matter presented to it.” 5 U.S.C. § 555(b). Section 555(b) creates a non-discretionary duty to conclude agency matters. *Litton Microwave Cooking Prods. v. NLRB*, 949 F.2d 249, 253 (8th Cir. 1991). A violation of this duty is a sufficient basis for mandamus relief.

17. The APA permits this Honorable Court to “compel agency action unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed.” 5 U.S.C. § 706(1).

18. Plaintiff alleges that the application has been in administrative processing beyond a reasonable time period for completing administrative processing of the adjustment of status application.

19. The combined delay and failure to act on Anarbek Mysaev’s adjustment of status application is attributable to the failure of Defendants to adhere to their legal duty to avoid unreasonable delays under the INA and the applicable rules and regulations.

20. There are no alternative adequate or reasonable forms of relief available to Plaintiff.

21. Plaintiff has exhausted all available administrative remedies in pursuit of a resolution of this matter, including repeatedly requesting the processing of the case with the USCIS via phone and InfoPass appointments.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Violation of Right to Due Process of Law)

For the second claim for relief against all Defendants, Plaintiff alleges and states as follows:

22. Plaintiff realleges and incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set out herein.

23. The right to fundamental fairness in administrative adjudication is protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Plaintiff may seek redress in this Court for Defendants' combined failures to provide a reasonable and just framework of adjudication in accordance with applicable law.

24. The combined delay and failure to act by Defendants has violated the due process rights of Plaintiff.

25. The combined delay and failure to act by Defendants has irrevocably harmed Plaintiff in the denial of an opportunity to claim lawful permanent resident status, as well as the ability to sponsor family members for residence in the U.S. and in various other ways.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Anarbek Mysaev requests the following relief:

1. That this Honorable Court assume jurisdiction over this action;
2. That this Honorable Court issue a writ of mandamus compelling Defendants to promptly complete all processing of Anarbek Mysaev's application to adjust status within sixty days;
3. That this Honorable Court take jurisdiction of this matter and adjudicate Anarbek Mysaev's application to adjust status pursuant to this Court's declaratory judgment authority;
4. That this Honorable Court issue a writ of mandamus compelling Defendants to issue a green card to Anarbek Mysaev;
5. That this Honorable Court issue a writ of mandamus compelling Defendants to

explain to Plaintiff the cause and nature of the delay and inform Plaintiff of any action they may take to accelerate processing of the application to adjust status;

6. Attorney's fees, legal interests, and costs expended herein, pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412;

7. Such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
February 15, 2022

/s/ James O. Hacking, III

James O. Hacking, III
Hacking Immigration Law, LLC
10900 Manchester Road, Suite 203
St. Louis, MO 63122
(O) 314.961.8200
(F) 314.961.8201
(E) jim@hackingimmigrationlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
ANARBEEK MYSAEV